Paul Weiss

February 14, 2022

SEC Proposes Significant Updates to Schedule 13D/G Reporting

The SEC has proposed long-awaited amendments to modernize Schedule 13D and 13G beneficial ownership reporting (available <u>here</u>). If implemented, these changes will significantly enhance disclosure around bloc holdings, including by activist hedge funds. Among other things, the proposed amendments would:

- accelerate deadlines for publicly filing Schedules 13D and 13G;
- expand beneficial ownership to include cash-settled swaps acquired with a control intent; and
- address "wolf-pack" behavior by clearly defining "groups" to include those acting together (even without an agreement to do so) and tippees to a Schedule 13D filing.

The new disclosure regime could have a significant impact on some activist campaigns. Activist hedge funds often seek to acquire a significant number of shares during the "interim period" between crossing the 5% threshold and publicly filing a Schedule 13D – at which point the public company's stock price may increase as a result of the activist's investment, making subsequent share acquisitions more expensive. In addition, the public company's board of directors may adopt a shareholder rights plan at the time of the activist's Schedule 13D filing. Changing the "interim period" from 10 calendar days to 5 calendar days could therefore result in activists acquiring significantly fewer shares in targeted companies.

These proposed rules will be subject to a comment period to end 30 days after publication of the proposing release in the Federal Register, or April 11, 2022, whichever is later. The SEC did not include a proposed effectiveness date for these changes.

Accelerated Filing Deadlines

The proposed amendments would accelerate all filing deadlines as follows:

| Schedule 13D Filers | Current Deadline | Proposed Deadline |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Initial Filing | Within 10 calendar days of acquiring | Within 5 calendar days of acquiring |
| | more than 5% | more than 5% |
| Amendments | "Promptly" after any material change | Within 1 business day of any material |
| | | change |

© 2022 Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP. In some jurisdictions, this publication may be considered attorney advertising. Past representations are no guarantee of future outcomes.

| Schedule 13G Filers | Current Deadline | Proposed Deadline |
|---|---|--|
| Initial Filing | | |
| Passive investors | Within 10 calendar days after the end of the month in which more than 5% was acquired | Within 5 calendar days of acquiring more than 5% |
| Qualified institutional investors and exempt (or pre-IPO) investors | Within 45 calendar days after the end of the calendar year in which more than 5% was acquired | Within 5 business days after the end of the month in which more than 5% was acquired (and, in the case of qualified institutional investors, was held as of month-end) |
| Amendments | | |
| All investors | Any changes must be reported within 45 calendar days after the calendar year | Material changes would need to be reported within 5 business days after the end of the month in which they occurred |
| Passive investors acquiring more than 10% or changing ownership by 5% or more | Promptly after acquiring more than 10% or changing ownership by more than 5% | Within 1 business day of acquiring more than 10% or changing ownership by more than 5% |
| Qualified institutional investors acquiring more than 10% or changing ownership by 5% or more | Within 10 calendar days after the end of the month in which more than 10% was acquired or changing ownership by more than 5% | Within 5 calendar days after the end of the month in which more than 10% was acquired or changing ownership by more than 5% |

To facilitate meeting these deadlines, the proposed amendments would revise the daily cutoff for Schedule 13D and Schedule 13G filings to 10:00 pm Eastern time (from 5:30 pm Eastern time). As is currently the case, any deadline that falls on a Saturday, Sunday or federal holiday would be pushed to the next business day thereafter.

Derivative Securities

Beneficial Ownership of Securities Underlying Cash-Settled Swaps

Activist hedge funds often use cash-settled swaps. Currently, holding cash-settled derivative securities does not typically give rise to beneficial ownership. This would change under the proposed amendments, which would deem holders of cash-settled derivative securities to be the beneficial owner of the reference equity securities if the derivatives are held with the purpose or effect of changing or influencing the control of the issuer of the reference securities, or in connection with or as a participant in any transaction having such purpose or effect. The proposed amendments include formulas to calculate the amount of securities beneficially owned by such a holder, including that only long positions will be taken into account.

The SEC staff has issued prior guidance to the effect that typical activist theses (such as advocating for the sale of all or a significant portion, or the restructuring, of a subject company or pursuing a proxy contest) would generally be considered as exhibiting a control intent such that cash-settled swaps acquired in these contexts would be included in beneficial ownership. The proposed amendments could therefore result in an activist hedge fund having to disclose its ownership earlier than is currently required. Institutional investors should generally be able to continue their regular corporate governance engagement activities without tripping the proposed new requirements.

Disclosure of All Derivative Securities Positions on Schedule 13D

Currently, Item 6 of Schedule 13D requires beneficial owners to "[d]escribe any contracts, arrangements, understandings or relationships (legal or otherwise) among the persons named in Item 2 [of Schedule 13D] and between such persons and any person with respect to any securities of the issuer." The proposed amendments would revise Item 6 of Schedule 13D to clarify that beneficial owners must disclose interests in all derivative securities that use the issuer's equity security as a reference

security, including those that are settled in cash, and regardless of whether they originate with the issuer or are part of the issuer's capital structure.

Groups

No agreement needed for group formation

In the proposing release, the SEC articulated its position that parties need not have an agreement to act together in order to be a "group" for the purposes of Sections 13(d) and (g); rather they need just to act together. Concerted actions by two or more persons for the purpose of acquiring, holding or disposing of securities of an issuer may be sufficient, depending on the circumstances, to constitute the formation of a group. The proposed amendments therefore remove the reference that persons must "agree" to act together to form a group and re-arrange the structure of Rule 13d-5(b) to clarify the SEC's position.

Trading on "tipping" of a potential Schedule 13D filing may give rise to a group

The proposed amendments would deem a group to exist where a Schedule 13D filer shares non-public information about an upcoming filing with the purpose of causing the "tippee" to make, and the tippee makes, purchases based on that information. Such group will be deemed to have acquired any beneficial ownership as of the earliest date on which the tippee acquired beneficial ownership based on such information.

New exemptions from group status

The proposed amendments provide two new exemptions from group status for beneficial owners who communicate with each other or the company, or who enter into agreements governing the terms of derivative securities, where such communications or agreements are not for the purpose or effect of changing or influencing control of the issuer, and are not made in connection with or as a participant in any transaction having such purpose or effect.

Technical amendments regarding group ownership of securities

The proposed amendments include technical revisions to clarify that the group acquires any securities acquired by a member of the group after its formation, and that intra-group transfers would not constitutes an additional acquisition by the group.

Application to Section 16 and Other Contexts

As proposed, the above beneficial ownership and group definition changes would impact Section 16 filers. Persons who beneficially own more than 10% of a company's stock (or who are members of a group that beneficially owns more than 10%) are required to file reports under Section 16 of the Exchange Act. Because beneficial ownership for Section 16 purposes is determined in accordance with Section 13(d) and its related rules, these proposed revisions may increase the number of persons subject to Section 16.

Section 13(d) definitions of beneficial ownership and group formation are also used in a number of other contexts, and the implications of these changes will need to be considered if adopted. For example, credit agreements and other debt instruments (e.g., in default provisions and put rights), employment agreements and other compensation arrangements and shareholder rights plans often look to Section 13(d) to determine whether certain stock ownership thresholds have been tripped or a change-in-control has occurred. Companies, including private companies, will need to review such agreements to determine the impact if the proposed changes to beneficial ownership and group formation provisions are adopted.

* * *

This memorandum is not intended to provide legal advice, and no legal or business decision should be based on its content. Questions concerning issues addressed in this memorandum should be directed to:

Scott A. Barshay +1-212-373-3040 sbarshay@paulweiss.com

Laura C. Turano +1-212-373-3659 Iturano@paulweiss.com John C. Kennedy +1-212-373-3025 jkennedy@paulweiss.com

Krishna Veeraraghavan +1-212-373-3661 kveeraraghavan@paulweiss.com Kyle T. Seifried +1-212-373-3220 kseifried@paulweiss.com

Steven J. Williams +1-212-373-3257 swilliams@paulweiss.com

Counsel Frances F. Mi and Practice Management Consultant Jane Danek contributed to this Client Memorandum.